

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
GREAT FALLS DIVISION

KEVIN GENE TOMASKIE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHOUTEAU COUNTY DETENTION  
CENTER, DETENTION OFFICER  
DANIEL GROVES, and (JOHN DOE)  
INMATES,

Defendants.

CV 17-00050-GF-BMM-JTJ

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE

Plaintiff Kevin Tomaskie, a prisoner proceeding without counsel, filed a Complaint alleging that Defendants delivered a medical request with protected health information to the wrong recipient. On November 6, 2017, this Court issued an Order finding that the Complaint as currently plead failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and was subject to dismissal. Mr. Tomaskie was given an opportunity to file an amended complaint on or before November 30, 2017. He failed to do so.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the Court's November 6, 2017 Order (Doc. 7), the Court finds that Mr. Tomaskie has failed to state a federal

claim upon which relief may be granted and therefore issues the following:

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. This matter should be DISMISSED for failure to state a federal claim.
2. The Clerk of Court should be directed to close this matter and enter judgment in favor of Defendants pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
3. The Clerk of Court should be directed to have the docket reflect that the Court certifies pursuant to Rule 24(a)(3)(A) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure that any appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith. No reasonable person could suppose an appeal would have merit. The record makes plain the Complaint lacks arguable substance in law or fact.
4. The Clerk of Court should be directed to have the docket reflect that this dismissal counts as a strike pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) because Mr. Tomaskie failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

## **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT**

Mr. Tomaskie may file objections to these Findings and Recommendations within fourteen (14) days after service (mailing) hereof.<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636. Failure

---

<sup>1</sup>Rule 6(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that “[w]hen a party may or must act within a specified time after being served and service is made

to timely file written objections may bar a de novo determination by the district judge and/or waive the right to appeal.

This order is not immediately appealable to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Any notice of appeal pursuant to Fed.R.App.P. 4(a), should not be filed until entry of the District Court's final judgment.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2017.

/s/ John Johnston

John Johnston

United States Magistrate Judge

---

under Rule 5(b)(2)(C) (mail) . . . 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a)." Therefore, since Mr. Tomaskie is being served by mail, he is entitled an additional three (3) days after the period would otherwise expire.